
Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A lot better than expected	13.04%	3
Better than expected	17.39%	4
About what I expected	39.13%	9
Worse than expected	30.43%	7
A lot worse than expected	0.00%	0
TOTAL		23

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	The topics were very narrow it appeared and not applicable across most real property practices	12/7/2018 12:01 PM
2	I would have appreciated some technical discussion of negotiating complex lease transactions, intercreditor agreements, estoppel letters, subordination non-disturbance and attornment agreements	12/7/2018 7:32 AM
3	The topics were narrow, I would have liked to have a topic on landlord tenant law for example.	12/6/2018 6:41 PM
4	I was particularly interested in the Court Foreclosure programs, so that was good. Desi and Ben Roybal did a nice job. Somehow Peter Ives was not as riveting as I expect the subject matter to be - may be the afternoon time frame. Finally, Enrique Romero still has me shaking my head over not wanting to talk about the civil procedure issues in Aamodt. I know it isn't real property but still!	12/6/2018 5:14 PM
5	topics not within my area of practice	12/6/2018 4:57 PM
6	I thought the first session of the morning took to long it seemed to drag. The guys did a good job on IRC sec. 199A which is a hard subject. The speaker on the last session kept going back to very basic water law issues that probably were not needed to discuss the Aamondt settlement	12/6/2018 4:31 PM
7	I found Desi to be as interesting as usual, and I really enjoyed the cons	12/6/2018 3:55 PM

CLE Survery - 2018 Real Property Institute

8	Opening panel about the Foreclosure Settlement project was really great, informative and allowed for a lot of audience questions; remainder of programs were rather dull, and were basically just speakers reading from slides, with little or no time for attendees to ask questions. The time for Q&A is really important, I think.	12/6/2018 3:47 PM
9	Most topics were highly specialized areas of real estate that had very limited applicability to my practice.	12/6/2018 3:33 PM
10	The content was great but I kept experiencing streaming issues with the video.	12/6/2018 3:33 PM

Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	63.64%	14
No	36.36%	8
TOTAL		22

Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 15

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	It focused more on litigation-related real estate matters and way less on the transactional aspects of real property matters.	12/7/2018 7:33 AM
2	Looking for more substance regarding commercial transactions, such as commercial leasing, purchase and sales. Like to watch / attend, but subject matter was not as close to what I was looking for as some in the past.	12/7/2018 7:02 AM
3	I practice Immigration Law exclusively	12/6/2018 5:50 PM
4	I don't actually practice law. I do maintain my license.	12/6/2018 5:14 PM
5	areas covered narrow topics and not in my areas of practice or clients I represent.	12/6/2018 4:58 PM
6	I practice Family Law	12/6/2018 3:55 PM
7	I deal a lot with real property. I should have looked at the agenda before signing up. Very little of it applied to my practice, although portions were informative.	12/6/2018 3:44 PM
8	The topics were highly specialized areas of real estate that had very limited applicability to my practice.	12/6/2018 3:34 PM



Q4 How useful was the content presented?

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	8.70%	2
Very useful	17.39%	4
Somewhat useful	47.83%	11
Not so useful	26.09%	6
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		23

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	I would have preferred general topics such as updates on title law cases, boundary disputes, commercial real estate, etc.	12/7/2018 12:02 PM
2	I thought the presentation on Conservation Easements was useful; not so much the others.	12/7/2018 7:34 AM
3	I do not practice tax law nor environmental law. Real estate sales would have been preferable.	12/6/2018 6:45 PM
4	Broadeneds overall knowledge of the law	12/6/2018 5:51 PM
5	I like to keep an eye on things.	12/6/2018 5:14 PM
6	not in my areas of practice	12/6/2018 4:59 PM
7	Good information on the new IRC Sec. 199A and the conservation Easements.	12/6/2018 4:32 PM
8	I practice in Foreclosure law, so learning about the Foreclosure Settlement projects in the 1st, 2nd and 13th Judicial districts is really helpful.	12/6/2018 3:49 PM
9	See answer to 3 above.	12/6/2018 3:45 PM
10	Tax information and conservation easement information is helpful	12/6/2018 3:42 PM
11	The practice of most real property attorney's in NM doesn't include acequias in the Pojoaque area, conservation easements or in-depth tax advise.	12/6/2018 3:37 PM


12	Topics were all very far from typical transaction real estate practices. Everything seemed on the	12/6/2018 3:34 PM
	periphery.	

Q5 What strategy or tool did you learn that you will put to use immediately?

Answered: 12 Skipped: 11

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	N/A	12/7/2018 12:02 PM
2	None.	12/7/2018 7:34 AM
3	Interested in the water rights litigation portion of the last section.	12/7/2018 7:03 AM
4	nothing in particular. a lot of general use information	12/6/2018 5:05 PM
5	a better understanding of Sec. 199A	12/6/2018 4:32 PM
6	Mediators in the Foreclosure Settlement project are pretty informal, and mostly just want to be constantly kept in the loop.	12/6/2018 3:49 PM
7	conservation easements interesting	12/6/2018 3:45 PM
8	None	12/6/2018 3:45 PM
9	Tax information	12/6/2018 3:42 PM
10	Foreclosure settlement information	12/6/2018 3:37 PM
11	The 2017 Tax law!	12/6/2018 3:35 PM
12	Very little.	12/6/2018 3:34 PM

Q6 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	86.36%	19
No	13.64%	3
TOTAL		22

Q7 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 19

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	See prior comments. This is an annual CLE for the section and it should at least include a session with an update on real estate law cases in NM.	12/7/2018 12:03 PM
2	More commercial topics involving leasing and Purchase and Sale.	12/7/2018 7:04 AM
3	not useful to my practice	12/6/2018 4:59 PM
4	It failed to include more generally applicable real property law content.	12/6/2018 3:37 PM




Q8 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:

	EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Susan H. Widner, Second Judicial District Court	13.64% 3	59.09% 13	22.73% 5	4.55% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	3.82
Erin A. Olson, Thirteenth Judicial District Court	13.64% 3	50.00% 11	31.82% 7	4.55% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	3.73
William Cason, First Judicial District Court	19.05% 4	47.62% 10	23.81% 5	9.52% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	21	3.76
Benjamin C. Roybal, Betzer Roybal & Eisenberg PC	31.82% 7	54.55% 12	9.09% 2	4.55% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	4.14
Robert J. Desiderio, Sanchez, Mowrer & Desiderio, PC	36.36% 8	45.45% 10	18.18% 4	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	22	4.18
Peter N. Ives, The Trust for Public Land	40.91% 9	36.36% 8	18.18% 4	0.00% 0	4.55% 1	0.00% 0	22	4.09
Enrique A. Romero of New Mexico Acequia Association	38.10% 8	23.81% 5	28.57% 6	9.52% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	21	3.90

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	all were very informative	12/6/2018 5:08 PM

Q9 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	47.37%	9
CLE Website	36.84%	7
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	42.11%	8
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	31.58%	6
Bar Bulletin Calendar	10.53%	2
E-mail from State Bar	52.63%	10
Facebook	0.00%	0
Twitter	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 19		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q10 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 15


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I think there should be a CLE every quarter where the attorneys involved in major cases that changed NM case law give a presentation.	12/7/2018 12:11 PM
2	Not sure.	12/7/2018 7:36 AM
3	More real estate based courses.	12/7/2018 7:06 AM
4	I love the opportunity to view CLEs via webinar rather than in person. Please continue. The one problem I had was some fairly annoying buffering issues. I had every piece of internet I could find turned off so that it wouldn't happen and I still had buffering issues. I had to refresh the screen several times.	12/6/2018 5:18 PM
5	no way in particular	12/6/2018 5:08 PM
6	I was glad you did a live broadcast. Need more programs in southern NM, Dona Ana County.	12/6/2018 4:39 PM
7	More foreclosure related CLE's	12/6/2018 3:52 PM
8	The program kept dropping with the website. Otherwise the program worked well.	12/6/2018 3:45 PM

Q11 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 13


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The trial and motion practice CLE's are always good. I'd like to see one on the rules of evidence with judges and trial attorneys where they try to get info and exhibits into evidence and are told how to overcome the objections. A CLE related to dispositive motion practice and what could be presented to get a motion granted that was originally denied would be good.	12/7/2018 12:11 PM
2	Not sure	12/7/2018 7:36 AM
3	Commercial leasing	12/7/2018 7:06 AM
4	More writing skills, mediation and immigration law	12/6/2018 5:52 PM
5	I would truly have loved to see something about the city of Albuquerque's new zoning ordinance. I realize it is CABQcentric, but I have had an opportunity to look at it and it is not quite what I thought we were promised. Even a tips and tricks and watch out for this or that would have been great!	12/6/2018 5:18 PM
6	litigation subjects	12/6/2018 5:08 PM
7	Water law, there are major adjudications with some unique issues in progress in N.M. focus on representing individual water rights owners in adjudication actions. Also a program on condemnation actions would be useful.	12/6/2018 4:39 PM
8	Foreclosure from Counsel for Bank's perspective	12/6/2018 3:52 PM
9	Community Associations	12/6/2018 3:45 PM
10	For Real Property, Opportunity Zone discussion - it's such a new, hot topic, I was surprised it wasn't covered.	12/6/2018 3:35 PM

Q12 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSE	ES
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	78.95%	15
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	42.11%	8
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty	5.26%	1
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	21.05%	4
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial	5.26%	1
Total Respondents: 19		

Q13 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	71.43%	15
Very likely	14.29%	3
Somewhat likely	14.29%	3
Not so likely	0.00%	0
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
TOTAL		21